1 2 3	Timothy E. Warriner (SB#166128) Attorney at Law 455 Capitol Mall, Suite 802 Sacramento, CA 95814 tew@warrinerlaw.com (916) 718-9516				
4	Attorney for Defendant, Diana Cervantes				
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
7	FOR THE EASTERN DISTSRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No. 2:21-CR-00109-DAD)				
9) STIPULATION AND ORDER Plaintiff,) CONTINUING THE JUDGMENT AND) SENTENCING OF MS. CERVANTES, AND				
11	vs.) THE STATUS CONFERENCE FOR MR.) VELAZQUEZ AND EXCLUDING TIME DIANA CERVANTES and VICTOR) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;				
12	MANUEL VELAZQUEZ,) FINDINGS AND ORDER)				
13	Defendants.				
14 15	STIPULATION				
16	The government, on behalf of Assistant United States Attorney Emily Sauvageau, Ms.				
17	Cervantes, represented by attorney Timothy E. Warriner, and Mr. Velazquez, represented by				
18	attorney Timothy Zindel, hereby stipulate and agree to the following:				
19	1. The date for Ms. Cervantes' judgment and sentencing be continued to June 9, 2025,				
20	9:30 a.m Defense counsel for Ms. Cervantes was recently assigned to this matter ar				
21	additional time is needed to prepare for the probation interview and to obtain				
23	materials for submission to the probation officer and to the court.				
24	2. Mr. Velazquez's matter will also be continued to June 9, 2025, for a status				
25	conference, and time will be excluded from March 17, 2025, to June 9, 2025,				
26	pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(ii), (iv) (Local Codes T2 and T4).				
	1				

- a. The government has produced over 100,000 pages of discovery and voluminous audio and audio/video discovery, including interceptions over multiple wiretapped telephones as well as recordings of controlled purchases of narcotics. Many of the recordings are in Spanish. Additionally, this case is particularly complex as it concerns twelve charged defendants.
- b. Although it is anticipated that the government will move to dismiss the case against Mr. Velazquez once Ms. Cervantes is sentenced, if the case were to proceed to trial, counsel would need additional time to prepare.
- c. Consequently, counsel for Mr. Velazquez believes that the failure to grant the above requested continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- d. The government does not object to the continuance and to the proposed exclusion of time.
- e. Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interests of the public and Mr. Vellazquez in a trial within the time prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f. For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of March 17, 2025, to June 9, 2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(ii), (iv) (Local Codes T2 and T4) because it results from a continuance granted by the court at defendant's request on the basis of the court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

Case 2:21-cr-00109-DAD Document 295 Filed 03/11/25 Page 3 of 4

1	g. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions				
2	of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from				
3	the period within which a trial must commence.				
4	3. The parties request that the court adopt the following schedule concerning the				
5	presentence investigation report (PSR) in relation to Ms. Cervantes:				
6	All sentencing memoranda and any reply or				
7	statement of non apposition filed no later	:	June 2, 2025		
8	Formal objections to the PSR shall be filed				
9	11		May 26, 2025		
10			Way 20, 2023		
11	Final PSR filed with the court and disclose to counsel no later than	d :	May 19, 2025		
12	Counsel's informal objections to the PSR				
13	shall be delivered to the probation officer		May 12, 2025		
14		•	May 12, 2025		
15	Draft PSR shall be filed and disclosed to counsel by	:	April 28, 2025		
16	IT IS SO STIPULATED.				
17	7				
18	DATED. Water 7, 2025	/s/ Timothy E. Warriner, Attorney for defendant,			
19	9 Diana Cervan	nes			
20	O DATED: March 7, 2025 /s/ Timothy 2	/s/ Timothy Zindel, Attorney for defendant, Victor Velazquez			
21	11				
22		/s/ Emily Sauvageau, Assistant United States Attorney, for the government			
23	9 II				
24	4				
25	5				
26	6				

Case 2:21-cr-00109-DAD Document 295 Filed 03/11/25 Page 4 of 4

ORDER

Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties and good cause appearing, the judgment and sentencing hearing for Diana Cervantes previously scheduled for March 17, 2025, is continued to June 9, 2025, at 9:30 a.m. The status conference for Victor Velazquez previously scheduled for March 17, 2025, is also continued to June 9, 2025, at 9:30 a.m., and time is excluded between March 17, 2025, and June 9, 2025, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(ii), (iv) (Local Codes T2 and T4). The above stipulation of the parties concerning the PSR and related filing dates is adopted by the court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 11, 2025

Date A. Droyd

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE